



10/26/00

LAW OFFICES OF

## JACOBSON, PRICE, HOLMAN &amp; STERN

PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

HARVEY B. JACOBSON, JR.  
D. DOUGLAS PRICE  
JOHN CLARKE HOLMAN  
SIMOR L. MOSKOWITZ  
ALLEN B. MELSER  
MICHAEL R. SLOBASKY  
MARSHA G. GENTNER  
JONATHAN L. SCHERER  
IRWIN M. AISENBERG  
GEORGE W. LEWIS  
WILLIAM E. PLAYER  
YOON S. HAM  
LINDA J. SHAPIRO  
LEESA N. WEISS  
SUZIN C. BAILEY  
MATTHEW J. CUCCIAS  
DANIEL K. DORSEY

THE JENIFER BUILDING  
400 SEVENTH STREET, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20004  
(202) 638-6666

OF COUNSEL  
MARVIN R. STERN  
NATHANIEL A. HUMPHRIES

TELEFAX  
(202) 393-5380  
(202) 393-5381  
(202) 393-5382

E-MAIL JP@JPHS.COM  
INTERNET WWW.JPHS.COM

\*BAR OTHER THAN D C

October 26, 2000

Atty. Docket No.: P66032US0  
CUSTOMER NUMBER: 00136

Assistant Commissioner of Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application of **Martin MCCREESH and Joseph STOCKTON for MONITORING OF COMPUTER USAGE**. The application comprises a 19-page specification including 23 claims (2 independent) and Abstract, 10 sheets of drawings, and a Declaration and Power of Attorney.

Also accompanying the application for filing is:

Preliminary Amendment to Lesson Fees;

Small Entity Declaration under 37 C.F.R. Section 1.19 and 1.27 (2 sheets in total);

Assignment document, cover letter and \$40.00 fee for recordation of Assignment.

A certified copy of **Irish** Application No. 990893, filed **October 26, 2000**, will follow in due course, the priority of which is claimed under 35 U.S.C. §119; and

The filing fee has been calculated as shown:

Basic Fee for Small Entity:	\$ 355.00
Total Claims 23 - in excess of 20 = 3 (x \$9.00=)	27.00
Total Ind. Claims 2 - in excess of 3 = 0 (x \$40.00=)	.00
<b>TOTAL FILING FEE</b>	<b>\$ 382.00</b>

A check in the amount of \$422.00 is enclosed to cover the Filing Fee and fee for recordation of Assignment. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any fees set forth in §§1.16 or 1.17 during the pendency of this application, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 06-1358. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON, PRICE, HOLMAN &amp; STERN, PLLC

By

*Harvey B. Jacobson Jr.*  
John C. Holman  
Reg. No. 22,769

cmf

jc922 U.S. PTO  
09/29/00  
10/26/00



Law Offices of  
**JACOBSON, PRICE, HOLMAN & STERN**  
 PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY  
 THE JENIFER BUILDING  
 400 SEVENTH STREET, N.W.  
 WASHINGTON, DC 20004

Attorney's Docket No.

**SMALL ENTITY DECLARATION**  
 [37 CFR 1.9(c)-(f)]

Each undersigned declares that:

(1) ☒ the application attached hereto

(2) ☐ U.S. Application Serial No. \_\_\_\_\_

(3) ☐ U.S. Patent No. \_\_\_\_\_ issued \_\_\_\_\_

is entitled to the benefits of "small entity" status for paying reduced fees under 35 USC 41(a) and (b) to the Patent and Trademark Office by virtue of the following:

(4) ☒ Each undersigned declares that he/she qualifies as an independent inventor or would qualify had he/she made the invention as defined in 37 CFR 1.9(c)

(5) ☐ The undersigned declares that he/she is an official empowered to act on behalf of the concern identified below; that concern qualifies as a small business concern as defined in 37 CFR 1.9(d), that exclusive rights to the invention have been conveyed to and remain with the small business concern, or if the rights are not exclusive, that all other rights belong to small entities as defined in 37 CFR 1.9

(6) ☐ The undersigned declares that he/she is an official empowered to act on behalf of the organization identified below; organization qualifies as a nonprofit organization as defined in

(a) ☐ 37 CFR 1.9(e)(1)

(b) ☐ 37 CFR 1.9(e)(2)

(c) ☐ 37 CFR 1.9(e)(3)

(d) ☐ 37 CFR 1.9(e)(4)

State law of \_\_\_\_\_

that exclusive rights to the invention have been conveyed to and remain with the organization, or if the rights are not exclusive, that all other rights belong to organizations as defined in 37 CFR 1.9

(7) Each person, concern or organization to which I/we have assigned, granted, conveyed or licensed, or am/under an under contract or law to assign, grant, convey, or license any rights in the invention is listed below

(a) ☐ no such person, concern or organization

(b) ☒ persons, concerns or organization listed below

[a separate declaration is required from each named person, concern or organization having rights to this invention averring to their status as "small entities"]

Full Name Intitas Limited

Address Unit 2, The Cornatore, Moville, County Donegal, Ireland

☐ Individual

☒ Small Business Concern

☐ Nonprofit Organization

I/we acknowledge the duty to file in this application or patent, notification of any change in status resulting in loss of entitlement of small entity prior to paying or at the time of payment, the earliest of the issue fee or any maintenance fee due after the date on which status as a small entity is no longer appropriate. (37 CFR 1.28(b))

I/we hereby declare all statements made herein of his/her own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issued thereon, or any patent to which this declaration is directed.

(8) **McCREESH, Martin**

Typed Name of Inventor

Signature

Date

**STOCKTON, Joseph**

Typed Name of Inventor

Signature

Date

Typed Name of Inventor

Signature

Date

Typed Name of Inventor

Signature

Date

Typed Name

Signature

Date

Title of Signatory

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Martin MCCREESH et al.

Serial No.: New

Filed: October 26, 2000

For: MONITORING OF COMPUTER USAGE

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT TO LESSEN FEES

Assistant Commissioner of Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Prior to initial examination, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

IN THE CLAIMS

Claim 23, line 2, delete "any preceding claim",  
insert --claim 1--.

REMARKS

The foregoing Preliminary Amendment is requested in order to delete the multiple dependent claims and avoid paying the multiple dependent claims fee.

Early action on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON, PRICE, HOLMAN & STERN, PLLC

By Harvey M. Jacobson Jr.  
John C. Holman  
Reg. No. 22,769 RN 26,851

400 Seventh Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004-2201  
(202) 638-6666

Date: October 26, 2000  
Atty. Docket: P66032US0  
JCH/cmf

"Monitoring of Computer Usage"

INTRODUCTION

5 Field of the Invention

The invention relates to monitoring of usage of computers such as networked PCs.

Prior Art Discussion

10

It is known to provide programs to perform such monitoring. For example, United States Patent Specification No. 5675510 (PC Meter L.P.) describes a system in which window titles are used to determine usage and to make entries to a log file. However, there is still a need for a system to capture more information for use in  
15 generation of comprehensive reports such as reports for corporate organisations having many employees using computers. There is also a need for improved security in operation of monitoring programs to improve integrity of reported data.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

20

According to the invention there is provided a computer usage monitoring utility comprising means for operating as a background application transparently to a user to capture usage data indicating usage of the computer, wherein the utility comprises means for automatically determining a productivity classification for each used  
25 application identified in the usage data.

In one embodiment, the utility comprises means for recording an event for each application used by a user and for applying a productivity classification for each event.

30

In one embodiment, the utility accesses a classification table in which a productivity classification is allocated to application groups, to applications, and to window text keywords.

- 5 In one embodiment, the utility comprises means for attempting to classify productivity in sequence according to application group, application, and keywords.

- 10 In one embodiment, the utility comprises means for checking for an active window at periodic callback intervals, and for marking an idle indicator if user activity is below a threshold.

- 15 In one embodiment, the utility comprises means for generating an event record at a callback interval when either a frame time period expires or when an active application changes, whichever is earlier.

- 20 In one embodiment, the utility comprises means for determining usage data according to a captured number of actions of user input devices such as a keyboard and a mouse.

- 25 In one embodiment, the utility comprises means for incrementing an idle count at periodic intervals if an input device is inactive and for marking a window as idle when the count reaches a threshold.

- In another embodiment, the utility comprises means for maintaining a temporary list of applications associated with windows previously identified, for retrieving an application name for a window if the window is present in the list, and for interrogating the operating system to determine the application name if the window is not in the list.

In one embodiment, the utility comprises means for searching in an operating system for an opened process with a name indicating that it is an executable associated with a window.

- 5 In one embodiment, the utility comprises means for capturing an active URL if the active application is a browser.

In one embodiment, the utility comprises an authorised stop program for closing the utility for purposes such as upgrade.

10

In one embodiment, the stop program comprises means for creating a stop mutex, and the utility comprises means for closing down in an orderly manner if the mutex is opened.

- 15 In one embodiment, the utility comprises a listener thread for listening for a mutex at periodic intervals.

In one embodiment, the utility comprises a protection program comprising means for executing in parallel to a main program implementing monitoring functions, both the main program and the protection program comprising means for determining if the other has stopped executing, and for re-starting it if it has stopped executing.

20

- 25 In a further embodiment, both the main program and the protection program open a mutex and comprise means for detecting existence of the mutex of the other to determine if the other is executing.

30

In one embodiment, the utility comprises a second protection program comprising means for re-activating the main program or the first protection program if either stops operating and for terminating itself when it has finished execution.

In one embodiment, the main program comprises means for writing an alert to a log file if a protection program is terminated.

5 In one embodiment, the utility comprises a live transfer mechanism for automatic transfer of event records to a server in real time.

In one embodiment, the utility further comprises a triggered event mechanism comprising means for triggering an alert message if alert usage conditions are met.

10 In one embodiment, an alert condition is set according to a user profile.

According to another embodiment, the invention provides a computer usage monitoring utility comprising means for operating as a background application transparently to a user to capture usage data indicating usage of the computer,  
15 wherein:

the utility comprises means for recording usage data in discrete events, in which there is an event at expiry of a periodic frame interval or when a used application changes, whichever is earlier, and the utility comprises means for  
20 activating a callback process at periodic intervals to check for either of said two conditions exist, and

the utility comprises means for automatically determining a productivity classification for each event.  
25

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

### Brief Description of the Drawings

The invention will be more clearly understood from the following description of some embodiments thereof, given by way of example only with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:-

5           Fig. 1 is a flow diagram illustrating operation of an initialisation routine for a data collection utility of the invention;

          Figs. 2 to 8 are flow diagrams illustrating operation of the utility;

10          Fig. 9 is a diagram illustrating protection of the utility; and

          Fig. 10 is a diagram illustrating capture of productivity information.

#### Description of the Embodiments

15

Referring to Fig. 1 an initialisation process 1 for a data collection utility of the invention is illustrated. The utility operates as a background process in a user's PC in a transparent manner with minimal effect on applications running on the computer.

20

An internal hidden window is created in step 2 and Dynamic Linked Libraries (DLLs) are loaded in step 3 for operation of the utility. In step 4, the utility reads configuration settings from the system registry and in step 5 it sets up keyboard and mouse hooks. A "snap-shot" timer is set up in memory in step 6. A protection thread is started in step 7. This is an independent thread which checks every second for the existence of a stop mutex and for the existence of a protection mutex, described in detail below. Any outstanding log files are transferred in step 8, and a start-up alert to indicate the time of starting is recorded in step 9.

25

A main event process 20 is illustrated in Fig. 2, and as indicated by a decision step 21 the three possible events are snap shot timer callback 22, operating system shutdown 23, and end task request 30. A snap-shot timer callback process 22 is activated for the main operation of the utility. This is described in detail below. The main event process 20 also includes an operating system (Windows™ in this embodiment) shut-down process 23. This comprises recording an alert with the shut-down time in step 24, and in a "write out last entry" process 64 it writes out an entry to save records in memory to a disk file. Operating system shut-down also results in the utility attempting to transfer any files to a server in step 50. The protection thread is stopped in step 27 and the utility exits in step 28. The end task request process 30 arises upon an attempt to stop operation of the utility. An alert is recorded in step 31, memory records are saved to file in step 64, and a file transfer attempt is made in step 50. According to a decision step 34, if a stop flag is set (indicating authorised closing of the utility) the protection thread is stopped in step 35. If not, the utility exits in step 36.

A primary operation of the utility is the callback process 22, described in detail now with reference to Fig. 3. This process is started at periodic intervals which are configurable. A typical interval is 5 secs. In step 40 the protection thread checks if the stop mutex has been set by the closing program of the utility. The stop mutex is used to allow an authorised user or process to terminate the utility for upgrading or other authorised reason. If this is set, the utility records an alert to the effect that is has closed and the alert includes details of the user or process which used the stop mutex. The log files are transferred in step 50 and the utility is closed in step 53.

The protection thread also checks every second for the protection mutex, as described in detail below.

If the stop mutex has not been set, in step 41 the utility gets the active window, and in step 42 it gets the key-hit and mouse event counts using the hooks which were set

up at initialisation. This is achieved by using the function "SetWindowsHookEx" in Windows™ to hook all keyboard and mouse activity. A counter is incremented with every keystroke and every mouse action.

5 As indicated by a decision step 43, if there has not been any keyboard or mouse activity an idle count is incremented in step 44 and is then checked in step 45 against a user setting. If the count exceeds the user setting the window is marked as idle in step 47. If there has been mouse or keyboard activity the idle count is reset in step 46.

10

An "active window" process 48 is then executed. As indicated by the decision step 49 when the next file transfer time is reached the "file transfer" process 50 is implemented. Thus, the utility effectively records both user activity in relation to applications, and also when the system is idle in the form of "idle events".

15

Referring now to Fig. 4 the "active window" process 48 is described. This process ensures that there is an event either (a) when a frame time of 15 minutes expires, or (b) when an application is changed, whichever is earlier. Thus, there is an event at least every frame period and this allows event database reporting programs to use timelines synchronised with the frames.

20

In step 60 the utility captures the window title text to provide an indication of what the user is doing. In step 61 it checks if the title is a general shell title such as "Program Manager", which can be ignored. The current record in memory is saved to disk at the end of every frame. The new record which is created has the same information as the previous record but a duration field set to zero. The utility monitors real time for expiration of the current frame period as indicated in step 62. If it has expired, in step 63 the utility determines if the current entry occurred in the previous or the new frame and it executes the "write out last entry" process 64 followed by the "create new entry" process 65. The utility determines if the current

25

30

```

5      a foreground counter of the time the window has been receiving
      keyboard or mouse user inputs,
      a key-hit counter, and
10     a mouse event counter.

```

Referring to Fig. 5, the “write out last entry” process 64 is now described. As indicated by step 80 the utility determines if a file transfer is in progress, and if so it adds the entry to a temporary list in step 89. If not, in step 81 it checks if the temporary list is empty. If not empty, in step 82 it gets the entry at the head of the list and if empty it uses the current entry. In step 84, it checks if a URL flag has been set, indicating that a browser is the active application. If so, it appends the URL to the window text in memory.

25 The encrypted data is binary with checksums. As indicated by the decision step 88 steps 81 to 87 are repeated until all the entries are written out.

The process 65 for creating a new entry is illustrated in Fig. 6. Memory is allocated in step 100 and variables are initialised in step 101. The variables are username, computer name, current time, and window title. A window list is maintained and if

If not in the list, in step 103 the utility gets the ID of the application. If the OS is NT™ (Step 104) the utility simply finds the performance statistics for the ID in step 105. If not NT™, the utility scans through the applications using Toolhelp routines in step 106. This involves taking a snapshot of all running processes and scanning through each one that has a “.exe”, a “.scr” extension, or any other executable file name extensions for the one with a process ID that matches the process ID associated with the current window handle. As indicated by the steps 107 and 109 the value “UNKNOWN” is written if the application details are not found, and they are written in step 108 if they are found. The utility determines if the application is an Internet browser in step 111, and if so it gets the URL in step 112 and sets a URL flag in step 113. Flow returns to the main process in step 114. Each record (entry) includes the computer name, the username, the current time (start time of event), frame start time, time of window snap shot, additional information such as window text, application name, event duration, number of key strokes, the number of mouse events, and, if applicable, the URL.

Referring to Fig. 8, the utility has a background protection thread which checks for the stop mutex and the protection mutex. As indicated by the decision step 131, if the stop mutex exists a stop flag is set in step 136 and the thread is stopped in an

orderly manner in step 137 by the stop program of the utility. The thread also checks (step 132) for the protection mutex. If this does not exist an alert is created in step 133 and a protection program is started in step 134. The utility then "sleeps" for 1 second before return to step 131, i.e. the thread checks for each mutex every second.

5

In more detail, the stop mutex is opened by a stop program of the utility which allows authorised and orderly closing of the utility for purposes such as upgrade. This program is password-protected.

- 10 The protection mutex is opened by a protection program of the utility and should always exist. The main program of the utility ("DCUApp") and the protection program ("DCUProt") each have a thread checking the protection mutex of the other. The protection program is open, but not performing any processing activity. If the mutex of the main program is absent, it automatically re-starts the main
- 15 program. If the mutex of the protection program is absent, the main program automatically re-starts the protection program. There is thus parallel protection because absence of either mutex is an indication of an unauthorised attempt to close the utility. The protection program has either standard protection or extended protection functions, as set out below.

20

Standard Protection (Fig. 9)

- Should a user attempt to stop the utility using the task manager the other program running in parallel detects that the mutex is missing and restarts the relevant
- 25 program. Should the user attempt to terminate the second program, then the original utility detects that it has been removed, and restarts this second program.

Therefore, the only way for a user to terminate the utility is to be able to terminate both programs at the same time, which is not possible using Windows 9x operating

systems. Additionally, The second utility has a generic filename so as to be inconspicuous within the task manager.

#### Extended Protection.

5

When a utility starts a second utility, the Microsoft Windows™ operating system sets a 'process tree' from one application to the other. In Windows NT / 2000™ operating systems, a user can specify to terminate a process and its process tree. This allows illegal termination of the utility by process tree termination on Windows NT  
10 or 2000™ operating systems. With extended protection, each utility calls a third , transitory application which executes the terminated utility. This third utility terminates as soon as it has finished its execution, therefore releasing the process tree from the two parallel applications. Extended protection makes it impossible to terminate illegally using Microsoft 9x, NT™ or Windows 2000™ operating systems.  
15 Fig. 9 illustrates the protection mechanisms diagrammatically, in which the utility is referred to as a Data Collection Utility Application (DCUApp).

#### Alert Log Mechanism.

20 As well as storing application data to the log files the utility stores alerts which include details such as USER LOGIN and SHUTDOWN. Importantly, alerts are used to log attempts to stop the utility.

Since the application is started by a registry setting the user may attempt to change  
25 this. This will mean that no records will be recorded for that user, hence alerting the manager to a problem. Additionally since the product is a data monitor any attempt to tamper with the registry settings will be seen in the logfiles providing that the snapshot interval is not increased above a reasonable level.

The utility includes a data upload program which runs either as a Windows NT™ service or as a stand alone program. It must be located on the computer which has the log database. After a user-configurable time-frame, the log files within the central server directory are interpreted by the data upload utility. The data upload program

5 performs the following:

NT Service Uploading,

Standard Executable Uploading,

10 TCP/IP Uploading,

Log entry error detection. Each entry within a log file is marked as uploaded if it is successfully added to the central database store. If no errors occur

15 during the uploading of a log file, the log file is deleted once all entries have been uploaded. If errors occur on entering an entry into the database, this entry is written to a separate error file and the next log entry is added to the database, and

20 Log file deletion. Once all records of a log file are successfully imported into the database, the log file is deleted.

During upload and generation of new log entries within the database, a number of further classifications are made.

25 Group Identification and Allocation.

Each entry of the log file contains a user, computer, application and window title which needs to be entered into the server database. If a log file entry contains a new

30 user, computer, or application, a corresponding entry is placed within the

appropriate area of the database. If the log file contains existing information, only the reference to the existing information is required to be stored within the database. This allows for a highly optimised database system.

## 5 Productivity Allocation.

Each logged entry within the database must be assigned a productivity rating when placed within the database. The assignment of a productivity rating is dependent on the window text contained in the log, the application of the log entry, or the group to which the application has been assigned. The productivity relationship is illustrated in Fig. 10.

If the log entry contains an application which is in a group that is marked as productive or unproductive, then the productivity score for this group is assigned to the entry for this log item. If the application group's productivity is marked as dependent on application then the productivity of the log item is set from the productivity of the application. If the productivity of the application is set to unknown, then window text of the log item is compared with a set of known keywords. Each keyword has a specified productivity rating. If no keywords match, then the productivity for this log item is set to unknown entry

## Background Task Logging

The utility can be used to monitor all background windows on the user's PC. This is achieved using the EnumWindows™ Function to scan through all desktop windows, limiting to only visible windows using the IsWindowVisible Function and storing the records to an internal linked list. Background window information may be useful in situations such as where certain users may try to thwart the utility by keeping a productive application active as a small window in the foreground while reading a non-productive item as a larger inactive window in the background.

5 A live transfer mechanism may be used instead of the log file mechanism, in which the utility transmits records over a TCPIP link rather than writing to a log file. In the event that the link is unavailable records are stored to the log file and transferred when the link is re-established.

10 The utility also includes a triggered event mechanism to automatically execute an event based on an activity or sequence of activities performed by a user such as non-productive activity exceeding a predefined period in a particular day or alerting a user if they spend excessive time at a particular task. The utility also identifies standard profiles for users and triggers an alert when a user falls outside a predefined tolerance as identified by a standard profile.

15 It will be appreciated that the invention provides for capture of very comprehensive information. This information is in discrete event records, which are simple to manipulate downstream for generation of reports. The frequency of event records allows time-based reports to be generated in a simple manner. For example productivity versus time can be easily plotted from the database. Because data is captured at the periodic callback process intervals there is very little impact on operation of the computer, as opposed to the prior approach of trapping all commands.

The invention is not limited to the embodiments described, but may be varied in construction and detail.

09596177-102500

## Claims

1. A computer usage monitoring utility comprising means for operating as a background application transparently to a user to capture usage data indicating usage of the computer, wherein the utility comprises means for automatically determining a productivity classification for each used application identified in the usage data.
2. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 1, wherein the utility comprises means for recording an event for each application used by a user and for applying a productivity classification for each event.
3. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 2, wherein the utility accesses a classification table in which a productivity classification is allocated to application groups, to applications, and to window text keywords.
4. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 3, wherein the utility comprises means for attempting to classify productivity in sequence according to application group, application, and keywords.
5. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 1, wherein the utility comprises means for checking for an active window at periodic callback intervals, and for marking an idle indicator if user activity is below a threshold.
6. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 5, wherein the utility comprises means for generating an event record at a callback interval when either a frame time period expires or when an active application changes, whichever is earlier.

7. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 5, wherein the utility comprises means for determining usage data according to a captured number of actions of user input devices such as a keyboard and a mouse.
- 5 8. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 5, wherein the utility comprises means for incrementing an idle count at periodic intervals if an input device is inactive and for marking a window as idle when the count reaches a threshold.
- 10 9. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 5, wherein the utility comprises means for maintaining a temporary list of applications associated with windows previously identified, for retrieving an application name for a window if the window is present in the list, and for interrogating the operating system to determine the application name if the window is not in the list.
- 15 10. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 9, wherein the utility comprises means for searching in an operating system for an opened process with a name indicating that it is an executable associated with a window.
- 20 11. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 1, wherein the utility comprises means for capturing an active URL if the active application is a browser.
- 25 12. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 1, wherein the utility comprises an authorised stop program for closing the utility for purposes such as upgrade.
- 30 13. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 12, wherein the stop program comprises means for creating a stop mutex, and the utility comprises means for closing down in an orderly manner if the mutex is opened.

14. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 12, wherein the utility comprises a listener thread for listening for a mutex at periodic intervals.
- 5 15. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 1, wherein the utility comprises a protection program comprising means for executing in parallel to a main program implementing monitoring functions, both the main program and the protection program comprising means for determining if the other has stopped executing, and for re-starting it if it has stopped executing.
- 10 16. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 15, wherein both the main program and the protection program open a mutex and comprise means for detecting existence of the mutex of the other to determine if the other is executing.
- 15 17. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 15, wherein the utility comprises a second protection program comprising means for re-activating the main program or the first protection program if either stops operating and for terminating itself when it has finished execution.
- 20 18. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 15, wherein the main program comprises means for writing an alert to a log file if a protection program is terminated.
- 25 19. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 1, wherein the utility comprises a live transfer mechanism for automatic transfer of event records to a server in real time.

20. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 19, wherein the utility further comprises a triggered event mechanism comprising means for triggering an alert message if alert usage conditions are met.
- 5 21. A computer usage monitoring utility as claimed in claim 20, wherein an alert condition is set according to a user profile.
22. A computer usage monitoring utility comprising means for operating as a background application transparently to a user to capture usage data  
10 indicating usage of the computer, wherein:
- the utility comprises means for recording usage data in discrete events, in which there is an event at expiry of a periodic frame interval or when a used application changes, whichever is earlier, and the utility comprises means for  
15 activating a callback process at periodic intervals to check for either of said two conditions exist, and
- the utility comprises means for automatically determining a productivity classification for each event.
- 20 23. A computer program product comprising software code portions for providing a utility as claimed in any preceding claim when executing on a digital computer.

ABSTRACT

“Monitoring of computer usage”

5

10 A utility operates on a networked PC and periodically executes a callback process to capture data to create discrete events. The callback process is periodically started, and it writes an event if either a frame period of 15 mins. has expired or the used application has changed, whichever is earlier. A protection program executes in parallel with a main program, both checking for a mutex of the other and re-starting the other if the mutex ceases to exist. Event data is automatically classified according to productivity classifications associated with applications.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

48




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7

130




Fig. 8




Fig. 9

150




Fig. 10

FOR ATTORNEY'S USE ONLY  
ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO.

As a below average investor, I cannot find it necessary to provide address and telephone and state below that the name and information given herein is true. I am not a resident of the state and sole investor in any one name is listed at 201 Madison or a firm and stock certificate (if given) elsewhere are named below at 201 703, or an additional checkbook account of the subject matter which is correct and for which I am not liable on the investment.

### "Monitoring of Computer Usage"

which is described and claimed by

PCT International Application No.

the specification in application Serial

(H. Hoffmann) and

amended on

I hereby state that I have reviewed and retested all the products of the above identified supplier(s) and, by including this letter, we warrant that any nonconforming product is being

I acknowledge we may be disclose information which is material to potentially be defined in Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations § 1.58

<sup>1</sup> Excludes claims against private insurance under Title 38, United States Code § 170 (25-03) of any foreign applicability for patent or invention.

Investor's rationale seems a little weak. It is true that the appraiser was not subject to liability.

### Other Foreign Applications

990893

**Island**

26/10/1999

[illegible]

5

**(Mauritius)**

© 2000 Blackwell Science Ltd

© 2004 Blackwell Publishing Ltd *Journal of Internal Medicine* 255: 105–112

Negative)

**THE**

© 2000 Blackwell Science Ltd *Journal of Internal Medicine* 247: 391–397

© 2004 Blackwell Publishing Ltd *Journal of Internal Medicine* 255: 291–298

Case 91

**Key Words:** *depression, mood, mood disorder, mood disorder, mood disorder*

### Application

14

#### Application 1

•••

[illegible]

1

**CONCLUSION**

*Phacelia (Jatropha)*

**Further studies pending approval**

---

**POWER OF ATTORNEY** As a signed owner, hereby appoint the following attorneys (Registration No.) to prosecute this application: receive and act on instructions from my agent and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: HARVEY B. JACOBSON JR. (20.851); D. DOUGLAS BARBER (22.518); JOHN CLARKE HOLMAR (22.768); MARVIN R. STERN (20.840); MICHAEL R. SLOBASKY (26.421); JONATHAN L. SCHERER (29.851); IRWIN M. AJENBERG (10.067); WILLIAM E. PLAYER (31.469).

2. TWO CORRESPONDENCE TO

DOI: 10.1002/for

ISIRIATA VEH. LIMITS & COVER No. 1 (2021) 638-655

COBSON, PRICE HOLMAN & STERN  
PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY  
400 SEVENTH STREET NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

**JACOBSON, PRICE, HOLMAN & STERN**

\*Copyright(s) name is mandatory and must be at least one character long and not be null.

U.S.	FULL NAME OF INVENTOR *	FAMILY NAME	GIVEN NAME	MIDDLE NAME
	RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP	CITY	STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY	COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP
U.S.	POST OFFICE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS	CITY	STATE OR COUNTRY ZIP CODE
	FULL NAME OF INVENTOR *	FAMILY NAME	GIVEN NAME	MIDDLE NAME
U.S.	RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP	CITY	STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY	COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP
	POST OFFICE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS	CITY	STATE OR COUNTRY ZIP CODE
U.S.	FULL NAME OF INVENTOR *	FAMILY NAME	GIVEN NAME	MIDDLE NAME
	RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP	CITY	STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY	COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP
U.S.	POST OFFICE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS	CITY	STATE OR COUNTRY ZIP CODE
	FULL NAME OF INVENTOR *	FAMILY NAME	GIVEN NAME	MIDDLE NAME
U.S.	RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP	CITY	STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY	COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP
	POST OFFICE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS	CITY	STATE OR COUNTRY ZIP CODE

\* If the inventor has the same name as any person already on file with this office, the inventor must indicate the relationship of the inventor to the person already on file. If the inventor is a minor, the inventor must indicate the relationship of the inventor to the person already on file. If the inventor is a minor, the inventor must indicate the relationship of the inventor to the person already on file. If the inventor is a minor, the inventor must indicate the relationship of the inventor to the person already on file.

DATE: 2/6/00

SIGNATURE OF INVENTOR: [Signature]

SIGNATURE OF WITNESS: [Signature]

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY: [Signature]

DATE: 2/6/00

DATE: [Blank]